

## mobility in the USA

*Peter Skerry*

In comparing the influence of different political institutions on minority mobility, the conventional approach is to look at social and economic outcomes. This essay will go further and scrutinize the *standards* by which such outcomes are evaluated. What levels, rates, and disparities of mobility are acceptable, or not, to minority groups and other political actors? The standards used to answer these questions are typically treated as *exogenous* to the political institutions being studied.<sup>1</sup> These standards

vote-buying and 'rake-offs' be seen as an integral part of United States urban politics at the turn of the century" (Scott 1972: viii). For Scott and others, a more serious problem than corruption was the machines' role in reconciling immigrant workers to an unjust capitalist society: "The effect of machine rule under universal suffrage is to submerge growing

11. . . . .  
12. . . . .  
13. . . . .  
14. . . . .  
15. . . . .  
16. . . . .  
17. . . . .  
18. . . . .  
19. . . . .  
20. . . . .  
21. . . . .  
22. . . . .  
23. . . . .  
24. . . . .  
25. . . . .  
26. . . . .  
27. . . . .  
28. . . . .  
29. . . . .  
30. . . . .  
31. . . . .  
32. . . . .  
33. . . . .  
34. . . . .  
35. . . . .  
36. . . . .  
37. . . . .  
38. . . . .  
39. . . . .  
40. . . . .  
41. . . . .  
42. . . . .  
43. . . . .  
44. . . . .  
45. . . . .  
46. . . . .  
47. . . . .  
48. . . . .  
49. . . . .  
50. . . . .  
51. . . . .  
52. . . . .  
53. . . . .  
54. . . . .  
55. . . . .  
56. . . . .  
57. . . . .  
58. . . . .  
59. . . . .  
60. . . . .  
61. . . . .  
62. . . . .  
63. . . . .  
64. . . . .  
65. . . . .  
66. . . . .  
67. . . . .  
68. . . . .  
69. . . . .  
70. . . . .  
71. . . . .  
72. . . . .  
73. . . . .  
74. . . . .  
75. . . . .  
76. . . . .  
77. . . . .  
78. . . . .  
79. . . . .  
80. . . . .  
81. . . . .  
82. . . . .  
83. . . . .  
84. . . . .  
85. . . . .  
86. . . . .  
87. . . . .  
88. . . . .  
89. . . . .  
90. . . . .  
91. . . . .  
92. . . . .  
93. . . . .  
94. . . . .  
95. . . . .  
96. . . . .  
97. . . . .  
98. . . . .  
99. . . . .  
100. . . . .

Advantages of political machines

The virtues of political machines are also widely acknowledged. Scott

(1972: 152). It accomplished this by serving, in Morris Janowitz's term, as a "bridging institution" between immigrant neighborhoods (the private realm of family, neighbors, and friends) and the wider society (the

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

... and the Dduw organization shifted its attention to white eth-

individual blacks. But by their very nature, such particularistic benefits could not begin to solve the problems facing African-Americans *as a*

A certain begrudging respect for machines can be discerned in the work

of the author of the *Hand Case* (1987-1967). This becomes

41

42

43 *Hand Case* (1987-1967) manifests *Black Decker* in which



...one of the most prominent advocates in behalf of poor children and

Many such efforts emerged after the 1960s, when it became apparent that various unorganized or hard-to-organize interests were not being heard in the usual din of pluralist politics. The prototype is Common

Cause, founded by John Gardner in 1970.<sup>15</sup> Focused on issues like cam-

interest efforts want *public* results. He doesn't put it this way, but his anal-

... to suppress the incentive for stability — of the

Madisonian system. Swift policy change no longer requires public concern, much less outrage. Indeed, change may now be easily pursued

16 The Madisonian system is still there.

revenues for this purpose." It also became clear that "the major benefit

activists was legitimation, not votes." The result was a decline in organi-

but by identifying with a cause, people also identify with others who join the same group" (Berry 1999a: 369–71). As the center of political gravity has shifted to Washington, Berry now defends public interest organizations as the obvious way to address "a set of issues that could not be

resolved without the involvement of Congress, the president, and the federal courts" (1999a: 369–71, 1999b: 166–7).

Yet Berry also acknowledges that this new regime is biased against

1987 does not take into account political parties 20 A consequence is that which

redounds to the specific disadvantage of minorities, is that organizations like MALDEF work outside the party system and end up looking a lot like the “buffer institutions” criticized by Katznelson (1976b: 119, 175–88, 193).

Another problem with the current regime is its formalism, which relates back to the participation–representation trade-off. In our eagerness to

ensure that diverse groups are represented, we Americans have grown

tarism, the racial and ethnic categories we rely on seem especially concocted and ill-fitting.

Still more troubling is the point raised by Ralf Dahrendorf:

The risk of the corporatist perversion of the democratic class struggle is that  
it is a possibility in the place of movement. Corporatism enters into an easy

will always be more combative than their interest-based counterparts because conflict generates publicity and allows public-interest groups to mobilize their constituents."<sup>23</sup>

This dynamic is illustrated by the contrasting styles of two

organizations – MALDEF and the Alinsky-inspired Industrial Areas Foundation (IAF) – during the campaign to reform school finance in Texas during the 1980s. Ever since MALDEF's defeat in the 1973 *Rodriguez* decision, increased state aid to poorly funded local school dis-



[The body of the page is almost entirely obscured by heavy black redaction bars.]

time is how politics is able to reconcile the inevitable tensions between  
ideals and realities.<sup>26</sup> Yet time is what our post-civil rights regime affords

3. On the aversion of machine politicians to debate issues and principles, see Banfield and Wilson 1966: 116 and Scott 1972: 108.
4. Katznelson 1976a: 226. See also Katznelson 1987a: 129–30 and Erie 1988.
5. Lowi 1967: 86. James C. Scott pushes this insight further and argues that ~~the~~ rationalized, bureaucratic structures of modern societies depend for their

day-to-day functioning on the flexibility of informal relations such as characterized machines (see Scott 1998: 352).

6. So did astute Progressives like Jane Addams. See J. B. Elshtain 2002: 77, 104, 157.
7. Katznelson 1981: 70. Here Katznelson's analysis of the machine echoes that of Polish mutual-aid societies in Thomas and Znaniecki 1958: 1590.
8. Huntington 1968: 83. On the transformative role of patronage parties, see Schmidt 1977: 326–7.
9. Katznelson 1981: 108–34; see also Jones-Correa 1998: 69–90.
10. Examples of these structural constraints can be found in Erie 1988: 163–5,

Grimshaw 1992, Scott 1972: 108. Shefter 1985: 33, 34, 71.

11. ~~On the~~ ~~role~~ ~~of~~ ~~patronage~~ ~~parties~~ ~~in~~ ~~New~~ ~~York~~ ~~City~~ ~~politics~~ ~~to~~ ~~the~~

26. Crick 1982: 156. A similar point is made in Nisbet 1975: 176.

#### REFERENCES

- Berry, J. M., 1980, "Public Interest Vs. Party System," *Society* 17 (May/June): 42-8.  
1989, *The Interest Group Society*, 2nd edn. Glen View, IL: Scott, Foresman/  
Little, Brown.

Grimshaw, W. C., 1992, *Bitter Fruit: Black Politics and the Chicago Machine 1931–1991*, University of Chicago Press.

Hamilton, C. V., 1974, "Blacks and the Crisis of Political Participation," *The Public Interest* 34: 191.

1970, "The Diverse Recipient Relationships and Minority Politics in New York

City," *Political Science Quarterly* 94: 211–27.

Heclo, H., 1980, "Issue Networks and the Executive Establishment," in Anthony King (ed.), *The New American Political System*, Washington DC: American Enterprise Institute for Public Policy Research, pp. 87–124.

1989, "The Emerging Regime," in R. A. Harris and S. M. Milkis (eds.), *Remak-*

*ing American Politics*, Boulder, Co: Westview Press, pp. 289–320.

1996, "The Sixties False Dawn: Awakenings, Movements and Postmodern Policy Making," *Journal of Public History* 8: 34–63.

Hirschman, A. O., 1970, *Exit, Voice, and Loyalty: Responses to Decline in Firms, Organizations, and States*, Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Huntington, S. P., 1968, *Political Order in Changing Societies*, New Haven: Yale

University Press.

Langbein, M., 1992, *The Deconstruction of Detentions: Education for Civic Consciousness*

Massey, D. S., Rafael Alarcon, Joreg Durand, and Humberto Gonzalez, 1987,  
*Return to Aztlan: The Social Process of International Migration from Western*

Sheffer, R. M., 1985, *Political Crisis/Fiscal Crisis: The Collapse and Revival of New*

York City, New York: Basic Books.

1994, *Deliver Us from Evil: The American Historical Experience*, Prince-

1

ton University Press.

Sierra, C. M., 1989, "Mexican Americans and Immigration Reform: Consensus and Fragmentation," Paper presented to the annual meeting of the Western Political Science Association, Salt Lake City, Utah.

Skerry, P., 1995, *Mexican Americans: The Ambivalent Minority*, Cambridge, MA:

Harvard University Press.

2000, *Counting on the Census?: Race, Group Identity, and the Evasion of Politics*, Washington, DC: Brookings Institution Press.

Skocpol, T., 1999a, "Associations Without Members," *The American Prospect* 10: 66-73.

# Ethnicity, Social Mobility, and Public Policy

*Comparing the USA and UK*

*Edited by*

Glenn C. Loury, Tariq Modood.

■  
Steven M. Teles

OXFORD  
UNIVERSITY PRESS



CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia  
32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA  
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia  
Ruiz de Alarcón 13, 28014 Madrid, Spain  
Dock House, The Waterfront, Cape Town 8001, South Africa

Cambridge University Press  
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge CB2 2RU, UK

477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia  
32 Avenue of the Americas, New York, NY 10013-2473, USA  
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia  
Ruiz de Alarcón 13, 28014 Madrid, Spain  
Dock House, The Waterfront, Cape Town 8001, South Africa